•

2 August 1978

HEMORANDUM FOR: General Counsel

Director of Security

FROM

S.D. Breckinridge

SUBJECT

HSCA Request of 20 July 1978 - OLC-78-2728

1. Attached is correspondence from the DDO focal point on the HSCA investigation, responding to Question 12 of reference. It states that there are and have been no agreements (at least not as described in the HSCA request) between CIA and the FBI, or other government agencies, that pertain to the Agency's responsibilities to report on U.S. citizens abroad who contact Communist embassies or officials. It also states that current legislation forbids such reporting.

- 2. Attached are copies of papers collected by O/IG during the Rockefeller Commission's inquiry; I recall that there was a 1966 agreement, which is not in these papers. In any event they do not seem to contain a specific provision such as is envisioned in the report. It is possible that interpretations of NSCID and DCID provisions resulted in routine reporting of such contacts, although there were no agreements, per se, for such action. Since the promulgation of Executive Orders 11905 and 12036 there have been limitations on reporting on U.S. persons, which may affect past practice. However, this office is not in a position to provide an Agency position on this issue.
- 3. It is requested that you coordinate with the DDO in preparing an answer to an Agency response to the HSCA question.

S.D. Breckinridge

Distribution:

1 - General Counsel

1 - D/Security

1 - OLC/Subj.

1 - OLC/Chron

1 - Mr. Gregg w/ late

1 - Mr. Shepanek

SECIET-

This document may be downgraded to AIUO when detached from attachment

	SENDER WILL CH		77.100			
-	SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSI STION TOP AND BOTTOM UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET					
	UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET					
	· OFFI	CIA	L ROUTING	G S	LIP	
TO	NAME AN	O AC	ORESS		DATE	INITIALS
1	Mr. Brecki	arid	g o			
:	. : .				•	:
3			\			
4			•		•	-
S						
6						
1	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE	REPLY
	APPROVAL		DISPATCH			MOITAGNE
	COKMENT		FILE		RETURN	
	COMCRESENCE		INFORMATION	1	SIGNATU	25
	Expansion o Book Dispat Two sanitize	ch '	9335 of 18 F	.ep	1975	~ ≜ Knoche.
•,			· .	-		
•			. ,		•••	
			TO RETURN TO		DER	
			ESS AND PHONE N			DATE
İ	Walter F. UNCLASSIFIED					3/18/75 SECRET

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIE LTION TOP AND BOTTOM						
	UNCLASSIFIED		CUNFIDEN			SECAET
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP						
TO	NAME AN	D A00	DRCSS	_6	ATE	INITIALS
1						
2				_		
3				<u> </u>		
4						
5						
۴	,					
 	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	 	PREPARE	
	APPROVAL	-	DISPATCH			ENOLTION
	COMMENT	-	ULE		RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE	لــــا	INFORMATION	<u> </u>	SIEMATU	
	<u> </u>					
i d	Remarks: 2 Capies each of the attached sent to Mr. Throcke pie IG 3/18/75					
			TO RETURN TO		IDER	DAYE
Ŀ	•					
1	UNCLASSIFIED	1	CONFIDE	NTIA	LI	SECRET

edition

237

والمرابق العاموة المعدية		m n A	
OUTGOING TELE	POUCH		
" SIGNAL CENTER USE		-	
TEL U D D D D	0	M	
- Landon Granten	٠ 🛶 .		
TELEPOUCH DESCRIPTION DATE - TIME	BOOK DIZP	TCH 9335	
O more O mo more	•	•	•
D persons to		E 2 CL BY	IMPDET : G2361870
TO: "FR/ATLANTA, FR/CHICAGO,	FR/CLEVELAND.	FR/BOSTON, FI	R/SAN FRANCISCO
FR/LOS ANGELES, FR/NEU YORK,		•	•
S E C R E T TELEPOUCH		•	
DISP NO: BD 9335		22 12 52zF	ัยอ74 .
DATE: 18 FEBRUARY 1974	:		
IZOLOG			•
TO: CHIEF OF BASE, ATLANTA	CHIEF OF BASE.	BOSTON: CHI	EF OF BASE, LOS
ANGELES: CHIEF OF BASE, CHIC	AGO: CHIEF OF B	ASE CLEVELA	ND; CHIEF OF
BASE, SAN FRANCISCO; CHIEF O	F BASE, NEU YOR	Ki	
FROM: CHIEF, FOREIGN RESOUR	CEZ DIVIZION		•
SUBJECT: PRELATIONS WITH THE	FBI	•	•
1. ATTACHED HEREUITH I	S THE SUBSTANCE	OF A LETTER	FRON FBI HQS
TO ITS EIGHT FIELD OFFICES I	N CITIES WHERE	FR BMASES AR	E LOCATED. 1953
THIS LETTER IS AIMED AT CLAR	IFYING A NUMBER	OF BASIC FA	RET DAILDRADER STO
THE MISSIONE OF DDO IN GENER	•		:
IN PARTICULAR, AND IT SPELLS	OUT IN SOME DE	TAIL OUR AGR	EED INTERPRE-
-TATION OF SOME OF THE HISTOR	CICALLY MORE TRO	UBLEZONE ASP	ECTS OF THE
LAPP AGREEMENT			
DATE: 15 FEB 74	C/FR/93	rricens	IP USE ONLY
UNIT: FR/COPS EXT: 5885	C/FR/B2		· X
•	C/FR/B3		
FR/CO: PRICAGE DANCES	<u> </u>	•	
CLASSACATION	250223	7	

出版でいるよう

14-00000

:

14-00000

] model [] no motal #

E 2 IMPDET CL BY:

- THE LETTER WHICH EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT FR IS CARRYING OUT A U.S.

 GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENT IN ITS RECRUITMENT OF FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE

 SOURCES, AND THAT THIS ACTIVITY IS BEING CONDUCTED IN THE USA WITH

 FULL BUREAU CONCURRENCE. ANOTHER BASIC POINT WHICH THE LETTER MAKES

 IS THAT THE PURPOSE OF THE GUIEDELINES CLIGHT AGREEMENT; IS TO PROTECT

 THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE U.S.EGOVERNMENT, NOT ENABLE THE BUREAU TO

 MONITOR OUR OPERATIONS.
 - 3. THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION INVOLVED IN DRAFTING THIS LETTER. BUT NO REAL STICKY DIFFERENCES AROSE. WE BELIEVE IT HIGHT BE USEFUL TO GIVE ADDRESSEES SOME OF THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THESE DISCUSSIONS AS BACKGROUND FOR ANY TALKS YOU MAY HAVE ON THESE SUBJECTS WITH YOUR FIELD OFFICE CONTACTS. THESE ARE SET OUT BELOW:
 - A. PAGE 2. LAST PARAGRAPH: ON THE QUESTION OF WHAT FOREIGN

 "OFFICIALS ARE OF "PRESUMED INTEREST" TO THE BUREAU; WE AGREED THAT

 THIS CATEGORY CHANGED WITH WORLD DEVELOPMENTS AND THAT "R WOULD

 SIMPLY USE A COMMON SENSE JUDGMENT TO MAKE ITS CASE BY CASE DETERMINATION. WE AGREED THAT TRYING TO BROADEN OR LIMIT THE CATEGORY BY

- MINATION -	WE AGREED	THE IN	COOPDEANC BINCES	IP USE ONLY
DATE: ORIG: UNIT: EXT:		·		
S E C R E	musing crores crossocation	<u>·</u>	rar numan	
Commence		\cap		

garagan malayan garan wasa	•	٠	[*]
SIGNAL CENTER US	U UV	٠	PACE EF PACES
ace com me			3
TEL O O	, , , ,	•• ,	_
CLASS-SCATION		•	
PIEST NAVOLING HONCATON	DATE . THE CHIST	E-SPATCH NUMBER	
TELEPOUCH	. 81	-9335	
	•	·	
O more O no mate	-	E 2	IMPDET
O metum to		· CL	BY:
A resi			

FURTHER DEFINITION WAS NOT PRACTICAL.

- B. PAGE 3. TOP PARAGRAPH: PLEASE NOTE THAT THE CRITERION OF OVERLAP AND/OR CONFLICT IS USED THERE THE SAME AS IN THE 'LL AGR MENT PARAGRAPH TWO CONCERNING BUREAU CONCURRENCE FOR RECRUITMENT APPROACHES.
- C. PAGE 3. BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: ALTHOUGH WE ARE REQUIRED ON TO KEEP FBI APPRISED OF INFORMATION RELATING TO ITS COUNTERINTELLIG AND INTERNAL SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES. WE CONCURRED IN THIS REQUES. FOR MORE DETAILED AND TIMELY REPORTING ON CERTAIN CASES SINCE WE CONSIDERED THE DETAIL ASKED FOR TO BE OF DEMONSTRABLE CI VALUE AND TIME LIMITS TO BE REASONABLE. THE BUREAU WAS COMPLETELY AMENABLE 1 LIMITING SUCH REQUBIREMENTS TO CASES WHEREIN THEIR STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES WERE CLEARLY INVOLVED. AND WE WERE PARTICULARLY PLEASED THE ADDITIONAL AGREEMENT ALLOWING THE FIELD OFFICES AND THE FR BASING OF ALL OTHER CASES. INCLUDING ENDING SPECIFICALLY THE "PRIOR NOTICE" REQUIREMENT OF PARAGRAPH THREE OF "BASING OF ALL OTHER CASES." INCLUDING ENDING THE LETTER INVOLUTIONAL AGREEMENT. INOTE THAT THIS ENTIRE PORTION OF THE LETTER INVOLUTIONS.

	.14/02/3 411241	COORDINATING OFFICERS	· IP USE ONLY	
	DATE:			
	ORIG:		1	
	UNIT:		1	
	EXT:		4	
	•		-{	
				•
	POLEASING OFFICER		1	
	CLASSIFICATION	•	i	
	SECRET	half serveda	1	
•	Capie at Catace		:	
	•	•	•	

D

E 2 IMPDET

"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ETERRITORY".) IT SHOULD ALSO BE NOTED THAT THE BUREAU HAS ALREADY AGREED TO PROVIDE US WITH CERTAIN TYPES OF OPERATIONAL INFORMATION IN EXCESS OF ANY EXISTING AGREEMENT, AND WE HAVE RECEIVED INITIALLY FAVORABLE REACTION TO PROPOSALS FOR ADDITIONAL ITEMS IN THE FUTURE. WE DO NOT BELIEVE THIS REPORTING AGREEMENT WILL CAUSE YOU ANY SERIOUS PROBLEMS, BUT IF IT SHOULD BE BROADENED OR INTERPRETED IN A WAY THAT YOU CONSIDER UNREASONABLE OR BURDENSOME, PLEASE ADVISE AND WE WILL TRY TO HAVE THE CRITERIA DEFINED MORE KEGEGO CLEERUBEOSELY.

D. PAGE 4. BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: WE HAD CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION ON THIS SUBJECT. THE EBUREAU FELT THAT IN SOME INSTANCES WE WERE "STRETCHING" THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS INTO THE FIRST STAGES OF RECRUITMENT. AND IN SOME OTHERS WE WERE MOVING INTO RECRUITMENT WITHOUT FORMALLY SEEKING BUREAU CONCURRENCE AND COORDINATION. GOOD FAITH. BEING PRESUMED. IT BECAME APPARENT THAT OUR PROBLEM WAS BOTH SEMANTIC AND ONE OF DEFINITION. THE BUREAU INSISTS. AND WE RECOGNIZE. THAT CONCURRENCE IN OUR PROCEEDING WITH ASSESSMENT CANNOT BE INTERPRETEDD AS CONCURRENCE TO MOVE BEYOND ASSESSMENT. THEY EXPLAIN THAT THEY

DATE:		ECOPOMATING OFFICERS	IP USE ONLY
ORIG:			•
UNIT:			
EXT:	•		
MOLAHAG OFFICER		•	
SECTON CLARENCE TO		•	
cobra historiuce			
	i-u	L PARTIES	

5 TELEPOUCH BD-9335

14-00000

IMPDET

OFTEN CONCUR IN OUR ASSESSMENT OF TARGETS IN WHOM THEY HAVE A VE ACTIVE "RECRUITMENT INTEREST" WITH BUREAU SOURCES IN TOUCH, ETC. HENCE, BEFORE THE AGENCY MOVES INTO THE ACTUAL RECRUITMENT OPERA THE BUREAU NEEDS SPECIFIC NOTICE IN ORDER TO ASSESS ITS OUN COUN INTELLIGENCE OR INTERNAL SECURITY EQUITIES AND MAKE A DECISION.

THE DEFINITIONS OF "ASSESSMENT" AND "RECRUITMENT" IN THE LE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED TOGETHER: THE ONLY POSSIBLE AREA OF CONFUSI WOULD SEEM TO BE IN THE "PROCESS" OF RECRUITMENT. A SIMPLIBFIED COMMON SENSE BREAKDOUN WOULD BE THAT ASSESSMENT IS THE OBTAINING \cdot AND ANALYZING OF INFORMATION CONCERNING A TARGET INDIVIDUAL TO E US TO DETERMINE UHETHER OR NOT IT IS DESIRABLE AND/OR FEASIBLE T MOUNT A RECRUITMENT APPROACH; IF OUR ASSESSMENT IS AFFIRMATIVE, THEN HOVE INTO THE RECRUITMENT PHASE - PRIOR TO WHICH MOVE WE MU SEEK BUREAU CONCURRENCE AND COORDINATION.

WE INTERPRET MANIPULATION OF A TARGET VIA SOCIAL CONTACTS. - MANY CASES BY OVERT, LEGAL, BUNONCOMPROMISING BUSINESS ARRANGEME ETO BE PART OF THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS; THAT IS, AN ATTEMPT TO OBT DINFORMATION REGARDING HIS VULNERABILITY, STRENGTH, IDEOLOGY,

DATE:	EPRIMO DATAMENDO	IP USE ONLY
ORIG:	· .	
UNIT: .		
EXT:		1
MELEASING OFFICER		·
ECRET		
1037 MANAGES	(n/w-1, 0	i .

D setumino:

E 2 IMPDET CL BY:

ASPIRATIONS, ETC., ALL OF WHICH ARE PERTINENT TO OUR DECISION TO OR NOT GO" FOR A RECRUITMENT APPROACH. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE IN PRET A COMMERCIAL ARRANGEMENT WHICH IS IN ANY WAY COVERT OR QUAS:

LEGAL OR IS A PLANNED STEPEPING STONE TO THE PLANNED INTRODUCTION

U.S. GOVERNMENT INTEREST, TO BE PART OF THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS A

TO REQUIRE PRIOR BUREAU CONCURRENCE.

E. PAGE 4. BOTTOM PARAGRAPH: BASES WILL NOTE THAT WE AGREED TO CONSIDER AGENTS RECRUITED IN THE U.S. WHO EREMAIN HERESAME AS AGENTS RECRUITED ABROAD WHO COME HERE FOR TOWNS OR VISITS ALTHOUGH ONLY THE LATTER ARE SPECIFIGED IN THE AGREEMENT. WE FELL IMPLICITLY IT INCLUDED THE FORMER. WE FEEL THAT OUR EQUITIES ARE ADEQUATELY PROTECTED BY THE VERY SPECIFIC TERMINOLOGY OF PARAGRAF FIVE AND SIX OF THE E966 AGREEMENT. NOTE ALSO THAT ALTHOUGH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPHS FOUR. FIVE AND SIX OF THE WI966 AGREEMEN ARE ALL-ENCOMPASSING, WE ARE IN PRACTICE CONCERNED ONLY WITH THOS AGENTS. OPERATIONS AND SOURCES WHO HAVE REAL OR POTENTIAL CONTACT OR CAPABILITY IN THE FIELDS OF COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND/OR INTERNAL SECURITY: THAT IS, WHO ARE OF KNOWN OR PRESUMED INTEREST TO THE

ATE:		COSARMATO	ng officers	IP USE ONLY
RIG:				•
RIT:	•			
XT:				i i
·				
PCLEAS	mc 0**<18			
	- MALATAN			·
ECRET			•	1
oss miletice		74.E myreca		

TEL B B B D D B C CASE OF STATE AND SER
O mate O morner

D /:

14-00000

E 2 IMPDET CL BY:

BUREAU. IT IS INCUMBENT UPON US, HOWEVER, TO BE METICULOUS IN (
DETERMINATION OF "PRESUMED" INTEREST AND TO LEAN TOWARDS THAT PF
SUMPTION WHEREVER THERE IS ANY DOUBT

4. FILE: 100-002-090.

ATTACHMENT - FBI LETTER, AS STATED ABOVE - H/U
E2 IMPDET-4

DIST:

1 - FR/REG, W/ATT

1 - FR/COPS W/ATT

1 - 100-002-090

DATE:
ORIG:
UNIT:
EXT:

DELIFICATION
CASSIFICATION
EFFE T

The second of the second

•

in it was